

Attorney Docket Number: 50277-182  
Client Matter Number: OID-1997-053-04

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: Group Art Unit No.: 2755  
Garret Minakawa, David Wrightson, Bonnie Shebat  
Serial No. 09/032,352 Examiner: L. Bullock Jr.  
Filed: 2/27/98  
For: EDI Gateway Code Conversion Architecture

**REVOCATION AND NEW APPOINTMENT OF  
POWER OF ATTORNEY BY ASSIGNEE**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

The undersigned representative of

Oracle Corporation

A corporation of the state of Delaware having a principle place of business at

500 Oracle Parkway  
Mail Stop 50P7  
Redwood Shores, CA 94065-

owner by virtue of assignment of the above-identified patent application, hereby revokes any and all previous Powers of Attorney and appoints the following attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and transact all business in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Registration No.</u>
Brian D. Hickman	35,894
Christopher J. Palermo	42,056
Bobby K. Truong	37,499
Edward A. Becker	37,777
Marcel K. Bingham	42,327
Carl L. Brandt	44,555
Carina M. Tan	45,769

of HICKMAN PALERMO  
TRUONG & BECKER LLP

Send Correspondence to: Brian D. Hickman Hickman Palermo Truong & Becker LLP 1600 Willow Street San Jose, California 95125-5106	Telephone: (408) 414-1080 Facsimile: (408) 414-1076 E-mail: <a href="mailto:bhickman@hptb-law.com">bhickman@hptb-law.com</a>
---	--

Attorney Docket Number: 50277 - 182  
Client Matter Number: OID-1997-053-04

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 3.73(b)

I, the undersigned, certify that I am an individual empowered to act on behalf of

Oracle Corporation


a corporation, the assignee of the entire right, title and interest of the above-captioned patent by virtue of an assignment from the inventor(s) of said application.

I further certify that I have reviewed all the documents in the chain of title of the patent identified above, that the Assignment has been recorded in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office at reel no. 9021, frame 0263, that I have reviewed the Assignment recorded at said reel and frame, and that to the best of my knowledge and belief title is in the above-noted assignee.

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 or Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Oracle Corporation

Dated: 8-15-02

By: 

Title: Patent Counsel


**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

on September 7, 2000 by

(Date)

(Signature)



Attorney's Docket No.: 50277-182

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

**"APPLICATION CODE CONVERSION ARCHITECTURE"**

the specification of which

X is attached hereto.  
\_\_\_\_ was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ as  
United States Application Number \_\_\_\_\_,  
or PCT International Application Number \_\_\_\_\_,  
and was amended on \_\_\_\_\_  
(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims(s), as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 (copy attached).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(a)-(d), on any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

<u>Prior Foreign Application(s)</u>			<u>Priority Claimed</u>	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below

_____	(Application Number)	_____	(Filing Date)
_____	(Application Number)	_____	(Filing Date)

EL027606 522 US

I hereby claim benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 (copy attached) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT International filing date of this application:

(Application Number) (Filing Date) (Status - patented, pending, abandoned)

(Application Number) (Filing Date) (Status - patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole/First Inventor (given name, family name) Garret Minakawa

Inventor's Signature Garret Minakawa Date 2/27/98

Residence San Jose, CA (City, State) Citizenship U.S.A. (Country)

Post Office Address 3362 Valley Square Lane, San Jose, California 95117

Full Name of Second Joint Inventor (given name, family name) David Wrightson

Inventor's Signature David Wrightson Date 2/27/98

Residence Tracy, CA (City, State) Citizenship USA (Country)

Post Office Address 743 Glenpine Court, Tracy, California 95376

Full Name of Third Joint Inventor (given name, family name) Bonnie Shebat Williams

Inventor's Signature Bonnie Shebat Williams Date 2/27/98

Residence Los Gatos, CA (City, State) Citizenship U.S.A. (Country)

Post Office Address 103 Atwood Ct, Los Gatos, California 95032

Full Name of Fourth Joint Inventor (given name, family name) Janet Hong Lee

Inventor's Signature Janet Heng Lee Date 2/27/98

Residence Union City, CA (City, State) Citizenship U.S.A. (Country)

Post Office Address 32735 Gilroy Court, Union City, California 94587

Full Name of Fifth Joint Inventor (given name, family name) Vicente Lang

Inventor's Signature  Date 02/27/98

Residence San Francisco, CA (City, State) Citizenship Hong Kong (Country)

Post Office Address 770 35th Avenue, San Francisco, California 94121

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56  
Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

(1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and

(2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

(1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or

(2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:

(i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or

(ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

(1) Each inventor named in the application;

(2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and

(3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.